A True Sach. 76/2

ANSWER;

OR,

REMARKS,

UPON

Dr. Sacheberell's SPFECH,

MARCH 7th, 1710.

BEING

A Modest and Reasonable Comparison, betwixt his Sermon at St. Pauls and that at Westminster.

Re-Printed at the Union Coffee-House on Cork-Hill, Dublin. 1710.

Price One Penny.

A true Answer, (or Remarks) upon Dr. Sacheverell's Speech, March, 7th, 1710, &c.

A D not the undoubted Proof of undeniable Instances convinc'd me of a Truth. I should otherwise very much have disputed, I cou'd not easily have imagin'd that these two Discourses had flow d from One, and the same Principle, much less, that they had proceeded from the same Hand: I occasionally call them Sermons, and I thick upon just Grounds, for if the first Negatively lost that Title was still a Pamphlet, I think this may Affirmatively lole the Name of Speech, and be call'd a Se mon. Nay, I shall not be backward in giving it the Name of a most excellent Sermon: But then, it admits of a 2d. Construction, or Confirmation; that it is really Genuine and Sincere: For it as not easy for an impartial or tender Conscience to reconcile the different Turn of Expression, and the general tendency of Subject contain'd in them Both, and it is the more Surpling, in that the wide extreams of one another are so very Obvious, that they cannot but make a very fenfible Impression on the least judicious Mind. The one feems inveterate Hot, and in many places, Terrible and Shocking; the other Calm, Sedate and moving, and like those fost and gentle Showers that succeed violent claps of Thunder.

The enquiry is therefore, as the Cafe has been lately stated, which is Nature and Principle, and which not? That is, which the Men, and which meaning? It won'd be a great Resection upon the Drs. Judgment to say, he did not consider what he said; and if he consider d what he said, it is a Resection on his Principles, that he did not avoid what was liable to give Offence. No wise Man could be securely satisfied it would not, and it it was only probable it might meet with such a Judgment, the most discreet and reasonable Part. Was certainly to avoid it? I do not pretend to Irstruct or Correct, I know it is neither Modest, nor Material what I shall say, but as it serves to support the Argument in hand:

Would any Man designedly put himself in a Bear skin on purspose to be Basted? Or was there any other design by those fright-tul and terrible Expressions inhis St. Paus's Sermon? (I might too

1

B L

fay h fuffici lieve was the A his Ju it Pr ons II has l to in it w take are t 10. 8 appe men to lu he c and

him have 'twa nera Zea pre tore tho on if h own traj on \mathbf{D}_0 wh We the ob OI

ra

25

fay his Derby Sermon, but I pass that over, the other affording fufficient Grounds for the Subject I am enter'd upon) I dare believe there hardly was; then it must certainly be allow'd there was the force of Nature in the highest Degree, and contequently the Awe of Power and Punishment, that had calm'd and qualified his Judgment afterwards, for I will not be so uncharitable to call it Principle, such tender regard I have for the solemn Asseveratia ons in his Speech; but how shall I, or any reasonable Man, that has lent an Ear to both these Discours's, be resolved which way to incline; for tho it may feem a Trifle what I thall fay here, yet it will be natural for other Men to imbibe the same Opinion, and take up the same Argument, and it may be, some of those who are to be his Judges; nay, 'cis more than probable it will happen 10. and next to impossible it should not. This certainly makes it appear that it was a great Error, if not in Principle, yet in Judgment, why a fober and judicious Divine should put his Sermon in= to fuch a colouring of Words, as were capable of two Senles (which he owns p. 3) is the Thing, that rests now in the greatest Dispute, and which Interpretation 'tis most liable to, is what must bring

him off, or on.

es

ch

le

n-

1:

15.

I

d

ne

C-

It

10

ct

he

d.

id

h

ie

le

n

-

1

0

t

0

4

Besides, may we not easily conceive, that he could not so far have forgothimself, as not to know before whom he was delivering such a Sermon; and if there was an Exception to One or Two. "twas but a very weak and indifferen: Excute for committing a ge= neral Miltake: He mult certainly admir them to be Men of great Zeal and Sobriety: Men unaffected with gilded and pompuous Expressions, (if it were for the take of Eloquence only) and there= fore not to be pleas'd with fuch a Train of unintelligible Epithetes, tho' they had really proceeded from a simplicity of Ductrine upon any Emergency whatever, Nay, I dare a most be oblig'd to prove, if he had spoke with as much Regard and Moderation (Itale his own Words, Page 6. viz, no ways misbecoming a good Subject, or be= traying any want of Christian Moderation) in his Sermon at St. Pauls, as he has done in that at Westminster; that where he has gain'd one worthy or real Profelyte to his own Notion or projets'd Doctrine of the Church of England, he wou'd have gain'd Fifty who were wavering, and declining in their Principles, and who were not before capable of making a right Judgment thereof. For there is nothing fo Iweet and attractive, as the Power of fost and obliging Words; neither heighten'd with too much Veremence on the one Side, or an unbecoming Levity on the other; to per= fualive an Eloquence, has that gentle and peaceable Word Moderation; not (I mean) in the Modern, but Literal Acceptation of the Word, (for I might as well lay a Moderate High Churchman, as the Application is made of it now, when it is turn'd into a kind

kind of Mock-Name, or invidious Epithete of the Distinction of 2 Party, and us d as a firebrand or instrument to be thrown with Dildain and Enmity at those that bear it; a most inhuman, un= natural, and barb rous Tener amongst us, under which this poor diffracted Nation is deflin'd to fuffer. But certainly there is a bet= ter Ill to be made of the Word; a Moderation, in Principle as well as in Devotion; a meek and compassionate Spirit, of which our bleffed Savour was a great Example; as it was the continual Dell ine he always Taught: Whilft I am upon this Head, I can= not forb ar observing by what Trifling, and indeed talle Notions thefe Fires are kept burning, calling Odiums on, and Villifying one another from the heat of Temper, and prejudice of Opinion, and thence drawing Parallels, and In elences which have not the leaft Conforance or Agreement; but a e without Order or Reason kept alive and encourag'd; to speak particularly of the Heats and Divisions in the Church. One Side of which I was last speaking) is branded with Republican or Forty One, Principles; and the other, (as spiteful call d High Church) with the no less odious Names of Perkinites and Facobites, when O my Confcience (some few excepted on either Side) were they to examine one another impartially, there is no such trightful Notions or Exceptions between them, no just or realonable Cause to keep them at Variance, but gieir own natural Heat, and inconsiderate Rashness; which seems to me, as it our Hearts were harden'd, and our Eyes blinded from feeing the great Danger and Errors we run into. Nor can I conclude this Point without summing up these unressonable Diff rences into one general and impartial sense, vz. that not only the best Christians, but the best subjects Her Majesty has, are the Persons who truly bear the following Denomination. Either vour Low Churchmen (and even Diffenter) that is not tainted with Ketublican, or Rebellious Principas, or your High Churchmen lo cell'd that utie ly abjures the Pretender, and both Loves and Vind cites the Protestant Succ ffin as lettled by Act of Parliament : And now how few is there, that will not with all the Zeal in the World lay hold of one of thele Characters? Do not they meet? Do they not agree in Substance? yet take 'em as they stand in the common Opinion, or milerable Curtelle, of their Country, and there is hardly two greater Enemies; and what an intoler ble injustice and Abute is this to the Nation? and the more in that no Expedient can be found to cure it.

I may be observed by his Speech, that the Doctor his prudently and honestly iaid Clain to one of these Characters, and I hope many others who have ten their Leaders leap the Hedge, will not refuse to follow: I dire say a Wick ago a great many People, did not believe him to well, and so hearthy affected to the present Government,

Government, or the Protestant succession, who now are almost of another Opinion; what a wonderful Turn is here of a sudden? and consequently, what a wonderful Train of Friends must the Doctor have drawn over to him? or rather what Pity 'tis he shou'd ever have given occasion to have rais'd himself up so many Enemies, even amongst those who had not only the greastest Insight into his Error, but the immediate Power to call it to Account? I hope it will Alleviate his Sentence thereto, but how they will relish this seeming Purity and Integrity of his 2d Sermon I cannot tell, but it he be plain and sincere, 'tis a greater Pity than I have mention'd, that so good a Subject, as he there professes himself to be, shou'd lye under so weighty a Sentence as he seems to have incurr'd; but the result of that is beyond my Title to Question.

Thus tar I have gone by way of Answer, and I hope I have us'd no unbecoming Language; But the main Point restsyet, to reconcile those two Sermons, and by comparing some of the most Capital Passages in both, leave 'em to the Opinion of the Reader Himself, which he may easier judge of, when I have brought

them fo near together.

of a

with

, un=

a bet=

s well

1 Our

tinual can=

enoite

g one

and e least

n kept

d Di-

king)

he o-

Vames

e few

mpar-

ween

feems

inded

r can

nable

not

tither

with

ien so

and ent:

al in

neet ?

1 tire

and

e in-

t no

lent-

hope

not

ople,

elent

Sermon. How often must they be told, that the King himself solumnly Disclaim'd the least Imputation of Resistance in his Declaration; and that the Parliament Declar'd. That they set the Crown on his Head, upon no other Title, but of the Vacancy of the Throne? And did they not Unanimously condemn to the Flames, (as it justly Deserv'd) that Insamous Libel, that would have Pleaded the Title of Conquest! by which Resistance was suppos'd? So Tender were they of the Regal Rights, and so averse to intringe the least Tittle of Our Constitution! We see how ready these Incendaries are to take the least Umbrage, to charge their own Cursed Tenets on the Church of England, to Drive their Guilt upon it, and quit Scores with it for their Iniquity.

ving maintained, that the necessary Means us'd to bring about the late Happy Revolution, were O. ions and Unjustifiable: In Proof of which, it has been urg d, that I have in General Terms afferted the utter Illegality of Resistance to the Supreme Power, upon any Pretence whatsoever. My Lords, The Re-

fistance in that Passage, by me condemn'd, is no where by me apply'd to the Revolution, nor is it applicable to the Cale of the

Revolution; the Supreme Power not b ing refifled.

Tho' he seems here to persist in his Assertion, that King James was not resisted at the time of Revolution, yet it is to be observed, that he has very much soften'd the Expression; and in this

this Point, I must confess he teems very unwilling to part with his avow'd and beloved Scheme of Non=Refistance. For if we clotely regard and inspect into the matter, That he applied not the Resistance he there condemn'd to the Revolution, and only meant (as he tays p 6.) to infill the Principles of Loyalty and Obedience in his fellow Subjects; I cannot be fatisfied how he came to look to far back; as to quote the Revolution; or, to bring any Parallel, but that of a proper and absolute Obedience to Her Majesty, fince the begin= ning of whole Reign, (unless the Mob of Scotland, and that lately at Home) no Body has offer'd to hold up their Fingers. But 'tis another great Point, whether there was any Resistance at the time of Revolution or no, else why do's he mention it, but to bring down and maintain his Affertion from the Date of those Days. I think there is nothing plainer than that King Fames was refisted, both in England and Ireland. I wou'd fain know is a Milcarriage had happen'd in that Expedition, after the Prince had Landed and was advanc'd to Salisbury, what wou'd have become of those Noble Persons who Assembled in his Behalf, parti= cularly, the Duke of Leeds at York, and the late Duke of D. von at Derby; and whether King Fames wou'd not have call'd that Action Resistance, and Treaton too?

But I am gone farther than I delign'd, which is only to draw

a Parallel of his two Sermons.

sermon. These False Brethen in our Government, do not Singly, and in Private spread their Poyson, but (what is lamentable to be tpoken) are suffered to combine into Bodies, and Seminaries, wherein Atheism, Deim, Tritheism, Socinianism, with all the Hellish Principles of Fanaticism, Regicide, and Anarchy, are open-ly Professed, and Taught, to Corrupt and Debauch the Youth of the Nation.

The old Leaven of their Forestathers is fill Working in their Prefent Generation, and that this Traditional Poylon still remains in this broad of Vipers, to Sting Us to Death, is fufficiently Vifible from the Dangerous Encroachments They now make upon our Government, and the Treatonable Reflections They have Publith'd on her Majelly. God Blets Her! Whole Hereditary Right to the Throne. They have had the Impudence to Deny, and Cancel, to make Her a Creature of their own Power and that by the isme Principles They plac'd a Crown upon Her, They tell Us They (that is the Mobb may) Re-affume it at their Pleasure. Nay, now they have advanced themtelves from the Religious Liberty Our Gracious Sovereign has Indulg'd them, to Claim a Civil Right, as they Term it, and to Juffle the Church out of Her Effabiffiment, by Hoisting their Toleration into it's place; and to convince Ils what alone will fatisty em, infolently Demand the Repeal

Repeal of the Corporation, and Test Acts, as an Ecclesiastical Usurpation, which indeed unport is the only Security the Church has to Depend upon, And which they have so far Eluded by their Ahominable Hypocrise, as have Undermin'd Her Foundations, and Indanger the Government, by filling it with it's Profess d Enemies.

with

tibe

is he

ellow

ack;

at of

gin=

that

But

the

t to

hole

ames

ow

rince

be-

rti=

von

that

raw

gly,

ries,

pen-

hot

heir

ains

Vi-

pon

Pub-

ight

lan=

Nay,

erty

Civil

Effa-

to

the

Speech. My Lords, Of any Favours to Diffenters, granted or instended by the Law. I have no where complain'd; of Toleration a Word unknown to our Laws, and implying, as I am informed, much more than our Lawsgivers defigned, it I have faid any thing offensive, I may hope, reasonably presume, that it will not be judg'd by your Lord ships in any wife to reflect on that Exemption, which I have spoken of in Terms no Ways, I think, misbecoming a good Subject, or betraying any Want of Christian Moderation.

Sermon. Have they not ever fince their first unhappy Plan always improv'd, and rife upon their demands in their Permission of the Government? infomuch that Queen Elizabeth, that was deluded by that perfiduous Prelate the Tolleration of the Gevevian Discipline, found it fuch an Headstrong and encroaching Monster, that in eight Years, she forelaw it would endanger the Monarchy, as well as the Hierarchy: And like a Queen of true Resolution and pious Zeal toy both, pronounced, That such were the restless-Spirits of that factious People, that no quiet was to be expected from them, till they were utterly suppress'd. Which, like a prudent Princess, we did by wholsom Severities, that the Crown for many Years fat easy and flourishing on her Head. And had her Successor, King Fames but follow'd her wife Politicks, his Son had never fall na Martyr to their Fury, nor any of his unhappy Offspring luffer'd thole Dulastrous Calamities, which made the Royal Family one continu'd Sacrifice to their Malice.

fome undue Asperity of Expression concerning him, yet I charg'd him with nothing but what I had good Grounds for, from our Historians: It hath been made appear to your Lordships, that, on the account of his Remissions in Church=Government, he liv'd and dy'd under the high Displeature of Queen Elizabeth; and whee ther therefore he, or that Glorious Queen, shall bear the Blame of his Disgrace, and Sufferings, is with all Bumility submitted to your Lordships. I think no one but will own that this is very

judiciously and cunningly foften'd.

Sermon. And now we are under no Danger in those deplorable Circumstances. Must we lull our selves under this sad Repose, and in such a stupid, lethargick Security, Embrace our Ruin? when Elista the great Prophet of God, was surrounded with an Host of Enemies, that sought for his Life, his blind Servant beheld

not the Peril his Master was in, till his Eyes were open'd by a Miracle, and he found himself in the midest of Horses and Chariots of Fire-

I pray God we may be out of Danger, but we may remember the King's Person was voted to be so, at the same time that his Murtherers were

conspiring bis Death.

Speech, My Lords, I neither have suggested, nor do in my Conscience believe that the Church is in the least Peril or Adversity, from ber Majesty's Administration. So sar am I from any such Thoughts, that I am entirely satisfied of her being a most affictionate Nursing Mother to it. But I hope, I may say, without Offence, that the Church may be in Peril from other Causes, without any Restection upon Her Majesty's Government, or any Contradiction to her Royal Proclamation, and the Resolution of both Houses of Parliament, Four Years ago. If the Church be in Danger, when the Christian Religion is evidently so, I hope it will be thought no Crime, to say it has scarce ever been in greater Danger than it is now since Christ had the Church upon Earth. The Beginn ng of these 2 last Paragraphs seem to clash; and there is a very wide difference between saying at the same time that his Murtherers were conspiring his Death, and Saying that it was done a year and half before: as he does in a following Paragraph.

Opon the whole, there seems a more plausible Countenance put upon the Matter than before, which is at least Some sign of Humility and Acknow-ledgment, and I hope that the they burn the Sermon, they will let the Speech be preserved, for if it has but one Eace which I will not determine,

which feems to be a very boneft one.

FINIS.

